

2025 consultation

Submission type	Guided submission
Submitter	Martin Stevenson
Response ID	261427

Disclaimer

This document is a submission to the Net Zero Commission's 2025 consultation. As part of the consultation process, the commission has committed to publishing the submissions it receives. Submissions do not represent the views of the commission.

2025 consultation questions

<p>1. What can you tell us about your experience of the impacts of climate change and how can the commission seek to reflect and respond to this in its work?</p>	<p>It is extremely difficult, if not impossible, for an individual to ascribe any particular event to climate change. What is needed is careful analysis of global data over a number of years. In this regard, the number of deaths from weather events has decreased by about 95% over the past 100 years, the population of polar bears is increasing, the incidence of drought overall is not increasing, nor are the incidences of floods, bush fires or cyclones. The land area of Pacific islands is increasing. Famine is in decline. The green areas of the planet are increasing. All of the above can be verified with a Google/Al search.</p> <p>The commission can reflect this in its work by moving at a steady pace, and avoid doing harm. Artificial deadlines (2030, 2035 or 2050) should be avoided.</p>
<p>2. What actions can the commission take to engage across the community to help drive the shifts needed for the net zero transition and for effective climate change mitigation and adaptation?</p>	
<p>3. How should the commission best engage with First Nations people to learn about cultural knowledge and practices to support adaptation, and what information and evidence should it draw on to inform its understanding of these practices?</p>	<p>There is little doubt that bush fires in Australia have been exacerbated by opposition to back burning and fire trails. First Nations people have thousands of years experience in managing the Australian bush, and this expertise should be utilised.</p>
<p>4. What additional mechanisms, support, or incentives can meaningfully empower and enhance First Nations people's involvement in climate mitigation, adaptation and environmental stewardship?</p>	
<p>5. What additional information and evidence should the commission consider when assessing progress towards NSW's</p>	

targets for reducing net greenhouse gas emissions?	
6. The speed of deployment of electricity generation and infrastructure is a key risk to emissions reduction targets. What more could be done to fast-track deployment?	The speed of renewable penetration should not jeopardise the cost and reliability of the grid.
7. Are the measures now in place sufficient to ensure community engagement and benefit sharing from the build out of infrastructure for the energy transition?	
8. Are First Nations communities adequately engaged and included in sharing the benefits of the transition? What more could be done, and by whom?	
9. What are likely to prove the most effective approaches to accelerate rapid decarbonisation across freight and passenger transport?	
10. What specific actions or policies could increase uptake of emissions reduction strategies in agriculture, both in the short and long term?	
11. Given the uncertainties in land-sector net emissions, how should NSW incorporate this sector into the states climate policy and emissions profile?	
12. What specific actions could increase carbon storage and resilience of the existing carbon stock	

in the land sector and meaningfully enhance the application of First Nations people's knowledge and practices?	
13. What policies or programs at a sectoral level could complement the Safeguard Mechanism to support the accelerated decarbonisation of heavy industry in NSW?	
14. What measures could accelerate industrial heat electrification in NSW, where technology is viable?	
15. What short to medium term measures could be prioritised to address the systemic challenges regarding waste generation and resource recovery?	
16. How could transparency of how coal mines meet their Safeguard Mechanism obligations be improved?	
17. What measures would lead to coal mines prioritising on-site abatement over offsetting?	
18. What measures should be considered beyond the Safeguard Mechanism to reduce emissions of the resources sector, particularly methane emissions, to meet NSW's emissions reduction targets?	
19. What additional measures could accelerate electrification and increase energy	

efficiency of new and existing buildings?	
20. How could social equity be better addressed in the transition to an electrified built environment?	
21. What approaches could NSW consider to eliminate refrigerants with a GWP >10 from buildings?	
22. What should be included in an emissions monitoring framework for NSW in the context of the transition to net zero, including any specific metrics and indicators?	
23. The adaptation objective is for NSW to be more resilient to a changing climate. The Act allows for regulations to further define the adaptation objective. What does a more resilient NSW look like to you?	
24. What additional information and evidence should the commission consider when assessing progress towards the adaptation objective?	
25. How can adaptation planning better use the NSW Government's climate change projections (NARClIM)?	
26. What other information or tools are needed to support decision-makers in NSW?	
27. What initiatives should the commission consider in assessing NSW's preparation and	

responses to extreme heat and humidity events in NSW?	
Are there any other pieces of evidence or feedback you would like to add?	